

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

This is a supplemental amendment to the response filed by the applicants dated May 9, 2005. As previously indicated, the Office Action dated December 8, 2004 while rejecting claims 19-22, indicated that claims 23-26 and 28-30 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. In the previously filed response, the subject matter of claim 23, as well as the subject matter of intervening claims 20 and 21, were added to independent claim 19.

Applicants now further submit new claims 31 through 39 in which the subject matter of claim 24, as well as the subject matter of intervening claims 20 and 21, were added to independent claim 19. Similar dependent claims were then added to this second independent claim.

As further indicated by the Examiner, U.S. Patent No. 6,767,988 to Okushita et al. neither discloses nor renders obvious an aromatic polycarbonate diol nor polyamide blocks having the claimed molecular weights of the present invention, i.e. the subject matter of either claims 23 or 24, each now made part of an independent claim.

As the applicants have restricted the claimed invention to include the allowable subject

matter identified by the Examiner, it is respectfully requested that the rejection of the claims be withdrawn. Further, it is respectfully submitted that all the claims in the application as presently submitted contain patentable subject matter and a Notice of Allowance is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,



Edward W. Grolz
Registration No. 33,705

SCULLY, SCOTT, MURPHY & PRESSER
400 Garden City Plaza, Suite 300
Garden City, New York 11530
(516) 742-4343

EWG:ds